# THE STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE JUDICIAL BRANCH

http://www.courts.state.nh.us

#### **RULE 7 NOTICE OF MANDATORY APPEAL**

This form should be used for an appeal from a final decision on the merits issued by a superior court or circuit court except for a decision from: (1) a post-conviction review proceeding; (2) a proceeding involving a collateral challenge to a conviction or sentence; (3) a sentence modification or suspension proceeding; (4) an imposition of sentence proceeding; (5) a parole revocation proceeding; (6) a probation revocation proceeding; (7) a landlord/tenant action or a possessory action filed under RSA chapter 540; (8) an order denying a motion to intervene; or (9) a domestic relations matter filed under RSA chapters 457 to 461-A other than an appeal from the first final order. (An appeal from the first final order issued in a domestic relations matter filed under RSA chapters 457 to 461-A should be filed on this form.)

COMPLETE CASE TITLE AND CASE NUMBERS IN TRIAL COURT

Laurie Ortolano v. City of Nashua 226-2021-CV-00354

2. COURT APPEALED FROM AND NAME OF JUDGE(S) WHO ISSUED DECISION(S)

Hillsborough County Superior Court Judge Charles S. Temple

3A. APPEALING PARTY: NAME, MAILING ADDRESS, E-MAIL ADDRESS, AND TELEPHONE NUMBER.

City of Nashua

229 Main Street, PO Box 2019 Nashua, New Hampshire 03061-2019

E-Mail address: boltons@nashuanh.gov

Telephone number: (603) 589-3250 ext

4A. OPPOSING PARTY: NAME, MAILING ADDRESS, E-MAIL ADDRESS, AND TELEPHONE NUMBER.

Laurie Ortolano

41 Berkeley Street Nashua, New Hampshire 03064

E-Mail address: laurieortolano@gmail.com
Telephone number: (603) 930-2853 ext

3B. APPEALING PARTY'S COUNSEL: NAME, BAR ID NUMBER, FIRM NAME, MAILING ADDRESS, E-MAIL ADDRESS, AND TELEPHONE NUMBER. Steven A. Bolton Bar #67, Celia K. Leonard, Bar #14574, Nicole M. Clay, Bar #268456

229 Main Street, PO Box 2019 Nsahua, New Hampshire 03061-2019

E-Mail address: **boltons@nashuanh.gov** 

Telephone number: (603) 589-3250 ext

4B. OPPOSING PARTY'S COUNSEL: NAME, BAR ID NUMBER, FIRM NAME, MAILING ADDRESS, E-MAIL ADDRESS. AND TELEPHONE NUMBER. Laurie Ortolano, Pro Se

41 Berkeley Street Nashua, New Hampshire 03064

E-Mail address: laurieortolano@gmail.com
Telephone number: (603) 930-2853 ext

## Case Name: Laurie Ortolano v. City of Nashua 226-2021-CV-00354 **RULE 7 NOTICE OF MANDATORY APPEAL** 5. NAMES OF ALL OTHER PARTIES AND COUNSEL IN TRIAL COURT N/A 6. DATE OF CLERK'S NOTICE OF DECISION OR 7. CRIMINAL CASES: DEFENDANT'S SENTENCE SENTENCING. ATTACH OR INCLUDE COPY OF AND BAIL STATUS NOTICE AND DECISION. N/A 02/07/2022 DATE OF CLERK'S NOTICE OF DECISION ON POST-TRIAL MOTION, IF ANY. ATTACH OR INCLUDE COPY OF NOTICE AND DECISION. 03/28/2022 YES or NO: No 8. APPELLATE DEFENDER REQUESTED? IF YOUR ANSWER IS YES, YOU MUST CITE STATUTE OR OTHER LEGAL AUTHORITY UPON WHICH CRIMINAL LIABILITY WAS BASED AND SUBMIT A CURRENT REQUEST FOR A LAWYER FORM (FINANCIAL STATEMENT). SEE SUPREME COURT RULE 32(4). 9. IS ANY PART OF CASE CONFIDENTIAL? YES or NO: No IF SO, IDENTIFY WHICH PART AND CITE AUTHORITY FOR CONFIDENTIALITY. SEE SUPREME COURT RULE 12. 10. IF ANY PARTY IS A CORPORATION, LIST THE NAMES OF PARENTS, SUBSIDIARIES AND AFFILIATES. N/A 11. DO YOU KNOW OF ANY REASON WHY ONE OR MORE OF THE SUPREME COURT JUSTICES WOULD BE DISQUALIFIED FROM THIS CASE? YES or NO: No IF YOUR ANSWER IS YES, YOU MUST FILE A MOTION FOR RECUSAL IN ACCORDANCE WITH SUPREME COURT RULE 21A. 12. IS A TRANSCRIPT OF TRIAL COURT PROCEEDINGS NECESSARY FOR THIS APPEAL? SEE SUPREME COURT RULE 15, COMMENT.

IF YOUR ANSWER IS YES, YOU <u>MUST</u> COMPLETE THE TRANSCRIPT ORDER FORM ON PAGE 4 OF THIS FORM.

YES or NO: Yes

#### Case Name: Laurie Ortolano v. City of Nashua 226-2021-CV-00354

#### **RULE 7 NOTICE OF MANDATORY APPEAL**

- 13. LIST SPECIFIC QUESTIONS TO BE RAISED ON APPEAL, EXPRESSED IN TERMS AND CIRCUMSTANCES OF THE CASE, BUT WITHOUT UNNECESSARY DETAIL. STATE EACH QUESTION IN A SEPARATELY NUMBERED PARAGRAPH.
- 1. Whether the trial court erred in determining the City of Nashua failed to conduct a lawful search in response to a Right-to-Know Request for emails.
- 2. Whether the trial court erred in failing to consider RSA 91-A:4, III-b and determine whether records that exist solely on back-up tapes had been initially and legally deleted, and were therefore no longer readily accessible.
- 3. Whether the trial court erred in determining that records that exist solely on back-up tapes were subject to disclosure in response to a Right-to-Know Request, contrary to RSA 91-A:4, III-b.
- 4. Whether the trial court erred in ordering the City of Nashua to conduct a reasonable search of its back-up tapes for responsive records.
- 5. Whether the trial court erred in determining that a search of back-up tapes is not unduly burdensome.
- 6. Whether the trial court erred in relying on Ancient Coin Collectors Guild v. U.S. Dep't of State, 641 F.3d 504 (D.C. Cir. 2011) in determining that a reasonable search under the Right-to-Know Law requires searching back-up tapes.
- 7. Whether the trial court erred in ordering the City to engage in remedial training regarding how the City's searches in response to requests for records must be conducted under RSA 91-A.
- 8. Whether the trial court erred in ordering the parties to submit proposals regrading the nature and duration of the aforementioned remedial training.

#### 14. CERTIFICATIONS

I hereby certify that every issue specifically raised has been presented to the court below and has been properly preserved for appellate review by a contemporaneous objection or, where appropriate, by a properly filed pleading. To the extent that an unpreserved issue is raised as plain error, I hereby certify that I have specifically identified that issue as plain error in section 13.

/s/ Nicole M. Clay
Appealing Party or Counsel

I hereby certify that on or before the date below, copies of this notice of appeal were served on all parties to the case and were filed with the clerk of the court from which the appeal is taken in accordance with Supreme Court Rules 5(1) and 26(2) and with Rule 18 of the Supplemental Rules of the Supreme Court.

04/27/2022	/s/ Nicole M. Clay
Date	Appealing Party or Counsel

Case Name: Laurie Ortolano v. City of Nashua 226-2021-CV-00354

#### **RULE 7 NOTICE OF MANDATORY APPEAL**

### TRANSCRIPT ORDER FORM

#### **INSTRUCTIONS:**

- 1. If a transcript is necessary for your appeal, you must complete this form.
- 2. List each portion of the proceedings that must be transcribed for appeal, e.g., entire trial (see Supreme Court Rule 15(3)), motion to suppress hearing, jury charge, etc., and provide information requested.
- 3. Determine the amount of deposit required for each portion of the proceedings and the total deposit required for all portions listed. Do <u>not</u> send the deposit to the Supreme Court. You will receive an order from the Supreme Court notifying you of the deadline for paying the deposit amount to the court transcriber. Failure to pay the deposit by the deadline may result in the dismissal of your appeal.
- 4. The transcriber will produce a digitally-signed electronic version of the transcript for the Supreme Court, which will be the official record of the transcribed proceedings. Parties will be provided with an electronic copy of the transcript in PDF-A format. A paper copy of the transcript may also be prepared for the court.

PROCEEDINGS TO BE TRANSCRIBED							
PROCEEDING DATE (List each day separately, e.g. 5/1/11; 5/2/11; 6/30/11)	TYPE OF PROCEEDING (Motion hearing, opening statement, trial day 2, etc.)	NAME OF JUDGE	LENGTH OF PROCEEDING (in .5 hour segments, e.g.,1.5 hours, 8 hours)	RATE (standard rate unless ordered by Supreme Court)	DEPOSIT		
				X \$137.50	\$		
				X \$137.50	\$		
				X \$137.50	\$		
				X \$137.50	\$		
				X \$137.50	\$		
				X \$137.50	\$		
				X \$137.50	\$		
				X \$137.50	\$		
				X \$137.50	\$		
				X \$137.50	\$		
				TOTAL DEPOSIT	\$		

PROCEEDINGS PREVIOUSLY TRANSCRIBED							
PROCEEDING DATE (List date of each transcript volume)	TYPE OF PROCEEDING (Motion hearing, opening statement, trial day 2, etc.)	NAME OF JUDGE	NAME OF TRANSCRIBER	DO ALL PARTIES HAVE COPY (YES OR NO)	DEPOSIT FOR ADDITIONAL COPIES		
12/06/2021	Trial	Charles S. Temple	<b>Hannah Stowe</b>	Yes	TBD		
					TBD		
					TBD		

**NOTE:** The deposit is an estimate of the transcript cost. After the transcript has been completed, you will be required to pay an additional amount if the final cost of the transcript exceeds the deposit. Any amount paid as a deposit in excess of the final cost will be refunded. The transcript will not be released to the parties until the final cost of the transcript is paid in full.